Approved For Release 2003/12/23 : CIA-RDP62B00844R000200130037-9 SECRET

GUS-0/82 Copy 6 of 6

25X1

	14 March 1959
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Contracting Officer, Development Projects Division
	Oshinacung Officer, Development Projects Division
THROUGH:	Acting Chief, DPD, Chief R&D DPD and
	Mr. Eugene P. Kiefer, DPD
SUBJECT:	Contract No. HL-4646, Approval for Convair to
	Centract for Architectural Drawings for New
	Building for Project GUSTO
REFERENCES:	A. Memorandum for DD/P, dated 11 March 1959,
*	same subject (GUS-0171)
	B. Memorandum for the Record, dated 12 March
	1759, irom C/R&D/DPD, subject'GUSTO Facilities !!
	(GUS-0176)
	C. Note to DD/P, from Mr. Kiefer DPD, dated
	13 March 1959, subject "New Building for Project GUSTO".
1. Reference A :	ecommends immediate approval of for
architectural and engine	sering costs in preparation for the construction of a
WAS DINK IT MUSSIAN W	B 19r GUSTO, Reference R contains
view that ALE work sho	uld be undertaken immediately or at least no later
men r where it derea to	ine program is to be avoided. Reference C states
FUEL . CANASTL USS DOGUE	advised to proceed with building design" which t
rake to mean the war. A	ork in question but Mr. Kiefer believes we should not
commit more than	prior to the 24 March meeting with Convair.
2. It is my view	that we will be little closer to a decision as to whether
CONTO IN IN DERCHAR WIT	Q WITH WORL CONTRACTOR until approvimately 1 Amel
r sur brebared to usve fi	he A&E work begin on a modest scale at once and to
CORRESTE	IDF this purpose. I believe the comment should
be advised, however, th	at we desire to put some such limit on the expenditure
TAM A B. W' company to a	5.7.5.0.0

for A&E until I April by which date we will advise them either to hold it up

or to go forward with it.

- 2 -

3. In the meanwhile, we should make use of the 24 March meeting to settle any misunderstandings with Convair as to what they will or will not do and we should use this period to determine finally whether a new building adjacent to their present plant is the best solution to the problem. I would also ask the Chief, Materiel Branch to explore this problem with the Office of Logistics, without, however, revealing the nature of the project, name of the company or exact location of the plant, to determine their views as to the best way to secure the necessary facility.

(sgu) filchard M. Bissell, Jr.

RICHARD M. BISSELL, JR. Deputy Director (Plans)

Cy 1 - Addressee 2-AC/DPD 3-C/R&D/DPD 4-Mr. Kiefer DPD 5-C/Materiel DPD

DD/P:RMB:djm 6-DD/P Chrono (Ref A. NOT approved.)